International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online)
Vol. 3, Issue 2, pp: (9-14), Month: October 2015 - March 2016, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Influence of Foreign Direct Investment on Indian Financial Systems

Shubhavi Arya

A Level Candidate, Cambridge University Board, UK
IBDP Scholar at the German European School, Singapore

Abstract: **Foreign Direct Investment as a strategic element of funding is required throughout India for achieving the financial reforms and continues the pace of development and progress of the economy. The pace of FDI inflows in India at the start have been low as a result of regulatory strategy framework and schemes but there is a strong upward push in investment flows since 2005 as the new policies have broadened. In this paper, we discover how FDI is noticeable as an important economic catalyst of Indian monetary progress by stimulating domestic investment, growing human capital formation and by means of facilitating the technology transfers. The essential purpose of this paper is to investigate the effect of FDI on fiscal growth in India.**

Keywords: **Foreign Direct Investment, financial, economy, India.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Foreign Direct Investment is flow of funds between different nations in the form of influx or outflow through which one is able to gain some advantage from their investment whereas another can exploit the opportunity to enhance the productiveness and discover better position by way of performance. The efficacy and competence relies upon the investors' notion, if investment is with the intention of long-time period then it contributes positively towards economic system; on the other hand if it is for short-term purpose of making revenue then it can be less notable and important. Depending on the enterprise sector and variety of trade, a FDI could also be an appealing and workable option. Any choice on investing in this manner is thus is a mix of evaluation of interior assets, competitiveness, and market investigation and market expectations. The FDI may additionally be influenced because of the government trade limitations and policies for the foreign investments and can result in being less or more impactful towards contribution in economy and in addition GDP of the economy. In this paper, we attempt to discover the implications that impact the financial situation and furthermore measure the level of predominance by using the explanations for economic contribution to India.

Contextual Background:

After India gained independence in 1947, FDI received notable consideration of the policy makers for acquiring advanced technology and mobilize international trade resources. So as to boost the FDI inflows in the nation, Indian government permits regular equity investment to international enterprises besides providing various incentives, for example, tax concessions, simplification of licensing systems and de-saving a few commercial industries like drugs, aluminum, fertilizers and composts and many others. But as a result of gigantic outflow of foreign reserve in the form of remittances of dividends, royalties and profits in 1973, Government of India established Foreign Investment Board and sanctioned Foreign Exchange Regulation Act so as to direct and control flow of FDI in India. Further Government of India established Foreign Investment Promotion Board for handling of FDI proposals in India. The Board is the apex inter-ministerial body of the Central Government that handles proposals identifying with FDI into India for ventures and projects that don't meet all requirements for automatic approval by the Reserve Bank of India or are outside the specifications of the prevailing FDI policy. It could be seen that there has been a consistent growth in the FDI inflows during the pre-liberalization period in Table 1. Yet, measures presented by the legislature to change procurements on the subject of FDI in 1991 elevated FDI from US$ 427.3 millions to US$ 19491 millions in 2010. The rundown of investing nations to India expanded to 150 in 2010 contrasted with 29 nations in 1991. However, still a lion's offer of FDI comes from just a couple of nations. Table 2 shows the verified investment flows of the top 10 nations amid the time of 2008/2009 to 2010/2011. The FDI stock during this period from Mauritius formed the largest 42 %. The other top 9

Table 1: FDI Inflows in India (from April 2000 to February 2011)

	Mid -2000	Mid-2002	Mid-2004	Mid-2006	Mid-2008	Mid-2010
Amount of FDI (in US$ Billion)	4	5	6.1	22.8	37.8	25.9

Taken from Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion.[10]

Table 2: SHARE OF TOP INVESTING COUNTRIES FDI EQUITY INFLOWS

(Financial years): Amount in US$ million					
Ranks	**Country**	**2013-14 (April - March)**	**2014-15 (April – March)**	**2015-16 (for April, 2015)**	**%age to total Inflows (in terms of US $)**
1.	Mauritius	4859	9030	907	35 %
2.	Singapore	5985	6742	1137	13 %
3.	UK	3215	1447	35	9 %
4.	Japan	1718	2084	114	7 %
5.	Netherlands	2270	3436	374	6 %
6.	USA	806	1924	392	6 %
7.	Cyprus	557	598	18	3 %
8.	Germany	1038	1125	349	3 %
9.	France	305	635	54	2 %
10	UAE	255	367	20	1 %
	Total FDI Inflows from all countries	24299	30931	3605	-

Taken from Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion.[11]

nations are UK, Singapore, Netherlands, USA, Cyprus, Japan, France, UAE and Germany. It infers that these main 10 nations represented well more than 78% of the FDI inflows amid the above period. Mauritius which was not in the scenario until 1992 has the most elevated development rate as such investment is constituted by the retaining corporations of Mauritius set up by the US companies. The explanation for the US firms to have directed through Mauritius is the tax treaty between Mauritius and India which sets forth a dividend tax of 5% while the treaty between India and the US lays down a dividend tax of 15%. The growth and development of FDI offers chances to Indian industry for technological improvement and up gradation, obtaining access to worldwide administrative and managerial practice and skills, streamlining use of human and natural resources and competing universally with higher[2].

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data Collection:

This paper is based on secondary information. The required data has been amassed from different sources, i.e., Asian Development Bank's Reports, World Investment Reports, various bulletins of Reserve Bank of India, publications from Ministry of Commerce, Government of India, United Nations, Country Reports on Economic Policy and Trade Practice Bureau of Economic and Business Affaires, Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific, US Department of State, Asian Development Outlook and sites of World Bank, WTO, RBI, EXIM Bank , IMF, UNCTAD. It is a time sequence data and the significant information have been amassed for the period 2008 to 2015.

Limitations of the Study:

All the financial/ exploratory studies are confronted with different limitations and this study is no special case to the phenomena. The different limitations of the research are:

1. At various phases, the fundamental target of the investigation is endured due to insufficient time sequence data from associated offices and agencies. There has additionally been an issue of adequate homogenous information from distinctive sources. For instance, the time series used for various variables, the mean averages are used at specific events. As a consequence, the patterns, development and growth rates and evaluated regression coefficients may just deviate from the authentic ones.
2. The belief that FDI was the main and only reason for advancement and progress of Indian economic system in the post liberalized era is questionable. No legitimate methods were accessible to isolate the impact of FDI to aid the legitimacy and validity of this presumption.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This section analyses the factual studies on the connection between FDI and fiscal activities in India. One school of idea contended that FDI has a negative effect on the development of India in light of the fact that FDI flows basically towards the predominant sector which fundamentally advanced the much less market price[3]. Nonetheless, another school of suggestion contended that FDI influx into the core sectors is believed to be a fundamental part as a supply source of capital, administration and innovation in nations exchange economies[4].

In the relative investigation of FDI and economic development for India and Canada, we observed that India does not figure a lot in the funding plans of Canadian organizations because of the absence of data and lack of understanding of investment possibilities in India. Regardless of India offering an extensive home market, low work costs because of confined FDI administration, excessive import taxes, limitations on exit boundaries for firms, stringent work laws, low quality infrastructure, centralized decision making systems and a extremely restricted scale of export processing zones make India an unattractive investment area[6]. Nevertheless, there are diverse perspectives in this setting[7]. Due to the new financial liberalization framework in 1991, the FDI inflow in India has been in-depth in the most recent fourteen years making the nation develop in both wide areas and the way India pulled in FDI. We additionally found out that Research and Development is a crucial deciding variable for FDI inflows for the majority of the businesses in India. As per the 2005 United Nations Conference in Trade and Development report of world investment prospects, India has been positioned at the third place in worldwide FDI in 2009 and will keep on staying among the main five appealing destinations for foreign investors. To summarize the evidence, it can be stated that government should rearrange and simplify entry barriers for financial and business activities and give investor friendly laws and tariff framework for international investors. A regulation associated to the reputation of Subaccounts is likewise to be made on the investors who withdraw money out of the Indian stock market who have invested with the assistance of participatory notes. We need to modernize furthermore while also store our culture. Thus the legal guidelines must be such that they protect national and local investors while also advance trade in the nation with FDI.

Policy Initiatives:

The Government of India had published an extensive FDI policy document operative and valid since April 1, 2010. Moreover, the legislature has permitted the FIPB, under the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, to sanction FDI propositions of up to US$ 258.3 millions. Previously all FDI proposals that included investment of above US$ 129.2 million were presented to the Cabinet Committee of Economic Affairs (CCEA) for authorization. This has facilitated FDI inflow. Amid April 2015, Singapore invested US$1137 million in India, taken after by Mauritius which invested US$907 million and USA that invested US$392 million as per the most recent information published by Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, India in April 2015[1]. It illustrates that there has been a huge move in the character of worldwide capital flows to India in late years in that the transcendence of private account capital exchange and particularly portfolio investment expanded significantly. The significance of FDI acquired notable stimulus towards the end of 1992 when the Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs, for example, investment trusts, pension funds, asset management companies, institutional portfolio managers, mutual funds and nominee companies were allowed to invest specifically in the Indian stock markets. In an effort to draw in portfolio investments, which favor liquidity, it has been promoted to build up the Indian stock exchanges. The foreign portfolio investment effectively develops the demand base of the stock market and additionally enhances the business sector through investor diversification. Keeping in mind the end goal to have a flow of FDI, India maintained Double Tax Avoidance Agreements (DTAA) with almost 70 nations of the globe. India has approved 57 Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITS) up to 2006. India has signed 16 BITS with developing states of Asia, 9 BITS with Middle East, 4 BITS with Africa and 1 with Latin America besides 27 BITS signed with developed countries.

India as a founding member of World Trade Organization (WTO), the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), a member of the Multilateral Investment Guaranty Agency (MIGA), and a signatory member of South Asian Free Trade Area (SAFTA) is making its attendance felt in the financial scenario of globalized economies which will help a favorable and solid environment for foreign financial specialists and investors and consequently bringing about considerate quantity of FDI inflows in the nation. State-wise FDI inflows reveal that Tamil Nadu, Delhi, Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Gujarat together comprised more than 75% of FDI inflows between 2000 and 2010 due to infrastructural provisions and great business atmosphere provided by these states. In spite of fluctuations in the global economy, India kept on pulling in FDI inflows essentially due to the reason that Government of India opened-up with adaptable investment policies and strategies which turned out to be the crowd for the international investors in finding the investment possibilities and opportunities in the nation.

4. CONCLUSION

FDI as a strategic aspect of investment is required by India for its continued economic development via construction of jobs, enlargement of current manufacturing industries, short and long term undertaking within field of healthcare, schooling, Research and Development etc. Government must plan the FDI approach in such a way that FDI influx can also be used for improving domestic manufacturing and production, savings and exports via the equal distribution among states by bestowing much flexibility to states with the goal that they can pull in FDI inflows at their own level. FDI can help to lift the output, productiveness and export at the sectorial stage of the Indian economy. Nevertheless, it can be seen that the outcomes of sectorial level output, productiveness and export is minimal because of the low flow of FDI into India on the macro level as well as on the sectorial level. So for this reason, for additional opening up of the Indian economic system, it is prudent to open up the export-oriented sectors and better development of the economic system could be accomplished via the development of these areas.

REFERENCES

[1] India. Ministry of Commerce. Fact Sheet on Foreign Direct Investment. Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, Apr. 2015. Web.

[2] Ministry of Finance, Report of the economic survey, Government of India, New Delhi (2003-04).

[3] Weisskof T E (1972), "The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow on Domestic Savings in Underdeveloped Countries", Journal of Interna-tional Economics, Vol. 2, pp. 25-38.

[4] Sahoo D Mathiyazhagan M K and Parida P (2002), "Is Foreign Direct Investment an Engine of Growth?", Evidence from the Chinese Economy, Savings and Development, Vol. 4, pp. 419-439.

[5] Nayak D N (1999), "Canadian Foreign Direct Investment in India: Some Observations", Political Economy Journal of India, Vol. 8, pp. 51-56.

[6] Srivastava S (2003), "What is the True Level of FDI Flows to India?", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 19, pp. 1201-1209.

[7] Basu P, Nayak N C and Vani A (2007), "Foreign Direct Investment in India: Emerging Horizon", Indian Economic Review, Vol. 25, pp. 255-266.

[8] Weisskof T E (1997), "The Impact of Foreign Capital inf low on Domestic Savings in Underdeveloped Countries", Journal of Interna-tional Economics, Vol. 2, pp. 25-38.

[9] Ddd A. J. Khadaroo. (2008). Transport infrastructure and foreign direct investment. *Journal of International Development*.

[10] India. Ministry of Commerce. FDI: Financial Year-wise Equity Inflows. Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, n.d. Web. <http://dipp.nic.in/English/Publications/FDI_Statistics/FINANCIAL-YEAR-WISE.pdf>.

[11] India. Ministry of Commerce. Fact Sheet on Foreign Direct Investment. Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, 2015. Web. <http://dipp.nic.in/English/Publications/FDI_Statistics/2015/india_FDI_April2015.pdf>.

[12] Aizenman, J. (1994). Foreign Direct Investment, Employment Volatility and Cyclical Dumping. NBER Working, Paper No. w4683, University of California, Santa Cruz.

[13] Caves, R.E. (1996). *Multinational Enterprise and Economic Analysis* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. CGFS. (2003, March).

[14] Borensztein, E., J. De Gregorio, & J.W. Lee. (1998). How Does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Economic Growth? *Journal of International Economics*, 45, 115–135

[15] CGFS. (2003, March). Financial Sector FDI in Asia: Brief Overview. *Bank of Japan Journal*.

[16] Cushman, D.O. (1985). Real Exchange Rate Risk, Expectations and the Level of Direct Investment. *A Review of Economics and Statistics*, 67(2), 297-308

[17] Kojima, K., & Osawa, T. (1984). Micro and Macro-economic Models of Foreign Direct Investment: Toward a Synthesis. *Hittosubashi Journal of Economics.*

[18] Ramkishen, S. R., & Rabin, H. (2009*). Understanding Bilateral Foreign Direct Investment Flows in Emerging Asia*. Institute of South Asian Studies, University of Singapore. ISAS Insight No 81.

[19] Shige Makino. (2007, July). Legitimacy and multi-level institutional environments: implications for foreign subsidiary ownership structure. *Journal of International Business Studies*.

[20] Smarzynska, B. (2002). Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment through Backward Linkages: Does Technology Gap Matter? Mimeo, World Bank.

[21] SOMO and Labor Resource and Research Institute (La RRI). (2001). Resolution: Foreign Direct Investment in Southern Africa. Windhoek, Namibia.

[22] Vernon R. (1966). International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 80, 190-207.

[23] Barro, R.J. and Sala-i-Martin, X. 2004, *Economic Growth*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

[24] FIRB (Foreign Investment Review Board) 2008, Frequently Asked Questions, www.firb.gov.au/content/faq.asp.

[25] Lall, S. 1998, 'Exports of manufactures by developing countries: emerging patterns of trade and location', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 14(2), pp.54-73.

[26] Agosin MR and R. Mayer (2000). Foreign investment in developing countries: does it crowd in domestic investment? UNCTAD paper, No. 146. UNCTAD, Geneva. Wamukonya N. 2001.

[27] Akyut, Dilek, Himmat Kalsi, and Dilip Ratha. (2003). "Sustaining and Promoting Equity-Related Finance for Developing Countries" Global Development Finance: Striving for Stability in Development. Finance. Washington: World Bank.

[28] Aitken, Brian J.and Harrison, Ann E. (1999) "Do Domestic Firms Benefit from Direct Foreign Investment? Evidence from Venezuela," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 89(3), pages 605-618, June.

[29] Alba, P., Hernandez, L., and Klingebiel, D. (1999). Financial Liberalization and the Capital Account: Thailand, 1988-97, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, No. 2188.Washington, DC: World Bank.

[30] Banga, Rashmi (2003), "Impact of Government policies and investment agreements on FDI inflows", ICRIER Working Paper No. 116, November 2003

[31] Birkinshaw , J. and N. Hood (2000) 'Characteristics of Foreign Subsidiaries in Industry Clusters'. Journal of International Business Studies, 31(1), pp 141-154.

[32] Blomstrom, M., A. Kokko and M. Zejan (2000). Foreign Direct Investment. St. Martins Press, New York

[33] Blomstrom, Magnus, and Ari Kokko. (2003). "The Economics of Foreign Direct. Investment Incentives." NBER Working Paper 168

[34] Borensztein, De Gregorio and Lee (1998), "How does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Growth," Journal of international economies 45, pp. 115-135

[35] Brewer, Thomas L. (1993). "Government Policies, Market Imperfections, and Foreign Direct Investment", Journal of International Business Studies 24: 101-120.

[36] Broaden, Charlotte B. (1999). Topics in Foreign Direct Investment: Foreign Direct Investment Under Uncertainty: An Options Pricing Strategy.

[37] Buckley, Peter J. (1995). Foreign direct investment and multinational enterprises. Macmillan Press Ltd.

[38] Campion, M. K. (2001) "The Globalization of Financial Markets: How Controls and Policies. Affect the Allocation of Private Financial Capital," University of Houston.

[39] Caves, Richard E. (1971) "International Corporations: The Industrial Economics of Foreign Investment," Economica, Vol.38, 1971, p1-27.

[40] Contractor, F. (1991) "Do government policies towards foreign direct investment matter? An empirical investigation of the link between national policies and FDI flows," UNCTC Current Series A (21), United Nations: New York.

[41] Dunning, John H. (1973). The Determinants of International Production. Oxford Economic Papers. 25: 289-336.

[42] Ewe-Ghee Lim (2001) Determinants of, and the Relation Between, Foreign Direct Investment and Growth: A Summary of the Recent Literature. IMF Working Papers 01/175, International Monetary Fund.

[43] FitzGerald, Valpy (2002) Regulatory Investment Incentives. Working Paper 80. Queen Elizabeth House, University of Oxford, Oxford, February 2002.

[44] Globerman, S. and D. Shapiro. (1999) "The Impact of Government Policies on Foreign Direct Investment: The Canadian Experience", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 30 (3), 513-532.

[45] Lim, E.Ghee (2001) "Determinants of, and the Relation Between, Foreign Direct Investment and Growth: A Summary of the Recent Literature," IMF Working Paper 01/175.

[46] Morisset, J. and O L Neso (2002) "Administrative Barriers to Foreign Investment in Developing Countries", Transnational Corporation, 11 page 99-121 World Bank, May 2002.

[47] OECD (1993) Foreign Direct Investment Relations between the OECD and the Dynamic Asian. Economies, OECD, Paris.

[48] Rajan, S. Rajan (2004) "Measures to Attract Foreign Direct Investment: Investment Promotion, Incentives", Economic and Political Weekly, 39, January 3, 2004, pp.12-16.

[49] Rashmi Banga (2003) Impact of Government Policies and Investment Agreements on FDI Inflows. Working Paper 116. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations, New Delhi, November 2003.

[50] Thomsen, Stephen. (1999) "Southeast Asia: The Role of Foreign Direct Investment Policies in Development." Working Papers on International Investment. Paris. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. 1999 OECD.

[51] Vernon, Raymond (1966) International Investment. and International Trade in the Product Cycle, in: Quarterly. Journal of Economics, May, 1966.

[52] Wai, U Tun and Chorng-Huey Wong. (1982). "Determinants of Private Investment in Developing Countries" Journal of Development Studies, 19(October), pp. 19-36.

[53] Wanniski, Jude (1978) The Way the World Works: How Economies Fail and Succeed. Basic Books, Inc., New York. 1978.

[54] Wells, LT and AG Wint (1990). "Marketing a country: promotion as a tool for attracting foreign investment", FIAS Occasional Paper No. 1 (Washington, DC: Foreign Investment Advisory Service).